

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Bez 1450

2016 July 1450

2016 July 1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/019.447 05/06/2002		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4366	
		05/06/2002	Moniks BAUER	05788.0199		
22852	7590	05/02/2003				
FINNEGA	N, HEI	NDERSON, FAR	EXAMINER			
LLP 1300 I STRI	EET, N	w	GORR, RACHEL F			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	Application No.		Applicantis							
~		10/019,447		BAUER ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit							
		Rachel F. Gorr		1711							
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars n th cover she t with th correspond nc address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estencious of time may be a variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply sepocified above is less than theirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABAPGONED (30 CS § 113).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on											
	•	his action is non-f	nal.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is										
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims											
4)⊠	Claim(s) 17-29 is/are pending in the application	on.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected.										
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>24.28 and 29</u> is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.											
Application Papers											
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.											
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:											
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).											
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>											
Attachment(s)											
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [	Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper N	o(s)						
2) Notic	se of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		Patent Application (P							

Application/Control Number: 10/019,447

Art Unit: 1711

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it's too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In examplethe C of compound I is shown as having only three bonds.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 is confusing because it defines formula I compounds as being free of fluorine. This now leaves formula I compounds as not properly defined. It hasn't been shown what is supposed to take the place of fluorine in formula I.

Claims 25 and 26 are confusing because the kind of amount isn't defined. Do the applicants intend wt. %, mole % or something else?

Claim 27 is confusing because it repeats the same phrase after the word "or" as the phrase that preceded it.

- 4. Claims 24, 28 and 29 are objected to for depending on rejected claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references teach the use of monocyanates in polytriazunes.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-

Application/Control Number: 10/019,447 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661

R.G.

April 29, 2003

RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER